

From: Mary Fitch
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 9:35 PM
To: Mary Fitch
Subject: Private Development Mini-Allocation

It would have been to your benefit to have politely asked me about the mini-allocation while I was at the podium, rather than (again) waiting until I could not respond and making a sarcastic remark. You will be very embarrassed when I explain it to you at the next meeting.

I still cannot understand why you continue to do that, when I would be more than happy to discuss with you privately -- or publicly -- anything you want to know.

As further point of information, your City Manager, your Assistant City Manager, and your Administrative Services Director were ALL told about that allocation, with a full explanation. Your Community Services Director has known about it for 4 years; he just doesn't understand it.

If you are just now finding out about it, perhaps you will agree that you aren't getting the information you require after all.

Best,
Mary

From: Mark Sorensen
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 9:08 AM
To: Mary Fitch
Subject: Re: Private Development Mini-Allocation

Actually, it was Quene's comment that triggered the thought.

I and others learned about the mini plan when the auditors were unable to reconcile the Cost Allocation Plan, and learned about the mini-cost allocation plan. And have learned plenty about various assignments of cost allocations since.

From: Mary Fitch
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 9:34 AM
To: Mary Fitch
Subject: Re: Private Development Mini-Allocation

The mini-allocation actually unwound some less-than-transparent payroll practices that had been going on for decades. It has absolutely no relationship to the Cost Allocation Plan. We were chasing the true cost of Planning operations, so we would have solid data for the User Fee Study. It would be beneficial for us to talk. I have truth for you and nothing to hide.

Best,
Mary